UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/573,222 | 03/23/2006 | Daniel Raederstorff | 22212USWO C038435/0196793 | 4962 |
| Stephen M Hara | 7590 12/02/200 acz | EXAMINER | | |
| Bryan Cave | | TATE, CHRISTOPHER ROBIN | | |
| 1290 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10104 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | 1655 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 12/02/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|---------------------|--|--|
| 10/573,222 | RAEDERSTORFF ET AL. | | |
| | | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |

| | Christopher R. Tate | 1655 | |
|---|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | n. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENIAN. | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | |
| 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.) | isideration and/or search (see NOT | | cause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | er form for appeal by materially rec | lucing or simplifying th | ne issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1. | | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | * ** | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | , |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | imely filed amendmer | nt canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | l be entered and an ex | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>14,15,17 and 21-23</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 14,13,17 and 21-23. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-13,16,19 and 20 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) | s to provide a). |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | /Christopher R. Tate/ Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 1655 | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly recited claim limitations within the 11/17/08 amendment would require additional consideration and/or searching.